

United States Patent and Trademark Office

RCE W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,663	10/07/2003	Wenbin Jiang	4161-PA1C	7292
29370	7590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER	
ROBERT A. PARSONS			SINGH, DALZID E	
340 E. PALM SUITE 260	340 E. PALM LN SUITE 260		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, A	PHOENIX, AZ 85004			
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/681,663	JIANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dalzid Singh	2633			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	october 2003.				
		action is non-final.				
3)□						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u></u>	Claim(s) 23-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[_ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the structural elements of figure 1 (110, 112, 114, 116, 118, 120 and 150) and figure 2 (210, 212, 214, 216, 218, 220, 250 and 252) are merely labeled with identifying numbers. Applicant must supply a suitable legend. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application (see 37 CFR 1.84(n) and 1.84(o)). The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following are direct quotations of 37 CFR 1.84(n) and 1.84(o), repeated below:

- (n) Symbols. Graphical drawing symbols may be used for conventional elements when appropriate. The elements for which such symbols and labeled representations are used must be adequately identified in the specification. Known devices should be illustrated by symbols which have a universally recognized conventional meaning and are generally accepted in the art. Other symbols which are not universally recognized may be used, subject to approval by the Office, if they are not likely to be confused with existing conventional symbols, and if they are readily identifiable.
- (o) Legends. Suitable descriptive legends may be used subject to approval by the Office, or may be required by the examiner where necessary for understanding of the drawing. They should contain as few words as possible.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the data management circuitry, cited in claims 14 and 22, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2633

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 23-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4 and 7-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,498. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current application and the patent both claimed the same subject matter.

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 23, the patent claims a high-speed optical data link (see claims 1 and 2) comprising:

a system circuit board;

a first ASIC mounted on the system circuit board;

a second ASIC mounted on the system circuit board;

optical receiver having an amplifier (since the claim indicates one of receiver and transmitter, therefore a receiver is selected); and,

board level IC coupled to the ASIC (see claim 2).

The patent differs from the current application in that the patent does not specifically disclose that the board level IC is coupled to the second ASIC. However, as indicated in claim 2 of the patent, the second ASIC is coupled to the board level IC. In claim 1, the patent indicated that the second and first ASIC are electrically coupled. Therefore, it would have been obvious that the second ASIC is coupled to the board level IC through the first ASIC. Furthermore, claim 5, discloses a first ASIC coupled to the board level IC.

Regarding claim 24, as indicated in claim 1, the patent discloses that the first ASIC and second ASIC are electrically coupled and differ from the claimed invention in that the patent does not disclose carrying electrical signal at a rate equal to at least 5-gigabits per second. However, in claim 8, the patent discloses that the rate is at least 10 gigabits per second. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of rodinary skill in the art to modify the interface as disclosed by the patent to operate at 5 gigabits

Art Unit: 2633

per second. One of rodinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide compatibility between various other interfacing devices.

Regarding claim 25, as indicated in claims 1, 4 and 7, the patent discloses that the first and second ASIC includes clocking and equalization/retiming function for sending and recovering data.

Regarding claim 26, as indicated in claim 1, the patent discloses that the amplifier of the receiver includes photodiode and a trans-impedance/post-amplifier.

Regarding claim 27, the patent discloses a high-speed optical data link, as discussed in claim 7, comprising:

- a system circuit board;
- a board level IC (see claim 5), which is coupled to an ASIC;
- a fiber optical receiver; and,
- a fiber optical transmitter.

The patent differs from the claimed invention in that the patent does not disclose carrying electrical signal at a rate equal to at least 5-gigabits per second. However, in claim 8, the patent discloses that the rate is at least 10 gigabits per second. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of rodinary skill in the art to modify the interface as disclosed by the patent to operate at 5 gigabits per second. One of rodinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide compatibility between various other interfacing devices.

Regarding claim 28, in claim 8, the patent discloses: providing system circuit board;

Art Unit: 2633

receiving electrical signal;

clocking and equalizing/retiming the electrical signal;

conveying the equalized signals to a second position; and

receiving and recovering the signal.

The patent differs from the claim in that the patent does not disclose first position. However it would have been obvious to indicate different position of the circuit board as first or second position. Furthermore, the patent differs from the claimed invention in that the patent does not disclose carrying electrical signal at a rate equal to at least 5-gigabits per second. However, in claim 8, the patent discloses that the rate is at least 10 gigabits per second. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of rodinary skill in the art to modify the interface as disclosed by the patent to operate at 5 gigabits per second. One of rodinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide compatibility between various other interfacing devices.

Regarding claim 29, as indicated above and in claims 1, 4 and 7 of the patent, the signal is recovered through the use of clocking and equalization/retiming step.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalzid Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3029. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am - 5pm.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/681,663

Art Unit: 2633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272--3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DS

October 21, 2004